

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/608,147	DESPRES ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Robert A. Wax	1653

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application: Allowable**

(1) Robert A. Wax. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Charles J. Andres. (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 March 2006

**Time:** 2:53 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Potential ODP*

Claims discussed:

1-5

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed potential rejection under obviousness-type double patenting over copending application 10/608,029. An amendment has already been submitted in that case removing the conflict. Authorization was granted for the attached Examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)